

Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy

Report To: UDS Implementation Committee (UDSIC)
 Subject: UDS Action Plan
 Report Authors: James Caygill, UDS Implementation Manager
 Report Date: 8 May 2008
 Reference to UDS: Governance and Implementation (Appendix V)
 Lead Agency - UDSIC

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to present an update on the UDS Action Plan and discuss how to improve performance in some areas.

2. BACKGROUND

The Strategy and Action plan contains 182 discrete actions to be carried out by the strategy partners and supporting organisations to achieve the vision of the UDS.

At the March meeting of the UDSIC members requested an update for their May meeting on actions that were reported as needing improvement or where information was lacking.

3. THE UDS ACTION PLAN

Of the 182 actions in the Action Plan a breakdown by status shows:

Status	#
Improvement Needed	27
Review Needed	3
?	28
Total	58

Of these 58 actions the assigned lead agency (some actions have multiple leads) are:

Partner	Actions
CCC	20
ECan	12
SDC	9
WDC	6
Transit NZ	-
TRONT	3

UDSIC	14
Other	7

Attached to this report is a large spreadsheet containing all 58 actions assessed as either 'Improvement Needed', '?', or 'Review Needed'.

Each action has been reclassified with a colour indicating updated status.

4. REMAINING INFORMATION GAPS / LACK OF PROGRESS

Red Actions indicate those with enduring information gaps or progress issues. Of the 58 actions 13.5 are so classified – the action half classified thus is 6.12.4.10 'Management of Aquatic Ecosystems' because while information exists on the Avon and Heathcote – other ecosystems need to be included.

I propose to report on these actions every UDSIC meeting with updated information or recommendations with how to expedite the actions until they are eliminated from this group.

5. CONTINGENT / PENDING ACTIONS

Orange Actions indicate those that are contingent on completion of other actions or other activities undertaken by one or more partners in order to progress the specific action.

There are 9 such classified actions.

As other work is completed these actions will be progressed

6. ACTIONS UNDERWAY

Green Actions are those that are able to be considered underway now that more information is available.

There are 14 such actions although two are half classified as Green. The actions half classified thus are 6.12.4.10 'Management of Aquatic Ecosystems' because information exists on the Avon and Heathcote; and 6.27.4.2 - 'Security of Supply' – because limited engagement takes place with local providers, particularly Orion.

7. IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

Remaining actions are classified Yellow – which are intended to be dealt with as blocks of work.

There are 21.5 such actions. The action half classified thus is 6.27.4.2 - 'Security of Supply' – because limited engagement currently takes place with local providers, particularly Orion.

As a start to addressing action 6.28.4.9 (Strategy Implementation Plan) it is proposed that actions requiring attention which form part of a logically larger work programme be dealt with as a group and a consistent and integrated approach be approved by UDSIC.

Therefore, over the coming few months, papers dealing with these issues as logical chunks will be presented to UDSIC for approval and adoption. The following groupings and timings are suggested:

1. Implementation Plan (June)

Actions included: 6.28.4.9, 6.28.4.5

2. Monitoring Programme (June)
Actions included: 6.31.4.1, 6.31.4.2, 6.25.4.3 and 6.6.4.2
3. Communications (July)
Actions included: 6.28.4.6, 6.28.4.10, 6.18.4.7, 6.17.4.11
4. Natural Hazards and Climate Change (August)
Actions included: 6.15.4.1, 6.15.4.2, 6.15.4.3, 6.15.4.4
5. LTCCP funding and alignment (September)
Actions included: 6.27.4.5, 6.30.4.6, 6.33.4.3
6. Energy and Telecommunications (October)
Actions included: 6.27.4.1, 6.27.4.3, 6.27.4.4 and 6.27.4.2

Such a way forward will allow IMG to deal with the significant issues before reference to UDSIC and will maximise the chances of implementation then proceeding as planned. These actions have so far not progressed, by and large, because they represent a significant commitment of work to the partners and they outside 'business as usual'. Therefore it is imperative that we move to implement these actions in a clear, logical and considered manner.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 8.1 That the report of the Implementation Manager on outstanding items in the UDS Action Plan be received.*
- 8.2 That the implementation manager report on those actions assigned a 'red' status in the attached spreadsheet at each subsequent UDSIC meeting with updated information or recommendations with how to expedite the actions until all actions are eliminated from this group.**
- 8.3 That the implementation manager report on those actions assigned a yellow status in the attached spreadsheet at the UDSIC meetings indicated in section 7 with substantive recommendations for proceeding to implement those actions.**