

Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY

STRATEGIC PARTNERS FORUM

Held at the Christchurch Convention Centre,
on Monday, 09 February 2009 at 10.00 am

FORUM MEMBERS PRESENT:

Bill Wasley	UDS Independent Chair & Forum Chair
James Caygill	UDS Implementation Manger (Acting Chair)
Karin Scheepers	Christchurch City Council
Brian Wearing	New Zealand Automobile Association.
Carolyn Brown	Sustainable Business Network
Graham Reid	New Zealand Fire Service
Hamish Douch	Representative of the Development Community
John Peet	Sustainable Otautahi-Christchurch
Keith Tallentire	Environment Canterbury
Martin Maguire	Department of Internal Affairs
Paul McNoe	Public Transport Operators
Peter Goodwin	NZ Road Transport Association
Sally Latham	The Department of Internal Affairs Te Tari Taiwhenua
Simon Swaffield	Lincoln University

1. Welcome

Bill Wasley welcomed all present and asked all attendees to introduce themselves and which organisation they represented.

Bill Wasley presented an overview of the Forum agenda.

2. Minutes

The minutes were accepted as correct.

3. Strategic Partners Forum Role and Purpose

- Bill briefly went through the report on the role and purpose of the SPF and opened up the floor for discussion.
- Bill went through the overall structure of the UDS, explaining the representation at the meeting's, the group's role and who they report to / their linkage to each other. James will compile a UDS hierarchical diagram for distribution to the SPF group.
- James mentioned a some external ad hoc groups and how they linked into the UDS Partners.
- Various people voiced their opinion's on the effectiveness of the current SPF. There were some suggestions on how members felt the group could be more productive.
- The group felt they needed to be clear of the purpose of the SPF group and wanted to make a meaningful contribution.

- Since the group was very diverse with various points of interest, the comment was raised that the meeting should focus on topics that are discussed in a general manner rather than to have the entire meeting focus on technical aspects of a certain issue.
- The question was raised as to whether the SPF members were representative or whether they were there as a critical review group. This would need to be teased out to resolve tensions within - what exactly was the function of the SPF Group.
- James confirmed that all partners were committed to the UDS. The UDS is the overriding strategic direction for the partners and this would be reflected in their LTCCP.
- One of the clear tasks for the Implementation Management Group is to work out exactly what needs to be done to make sure the next iteration of the LTCCP be much better and more aligned than the one coming out.
- One of the difficulties is the sub-regional issue in that we have a District and Regional level and need to pick a path through that does not duplicate as much as possible but draws the two Regional local issues together so we get the benefits of the sub-regional view.
- There was a suggestion from the group that the meetings should be further apart and that the meeting should be longer than 2 hours.
- Bill and James have taken note of all the comments and suggestions from this meeting. They will compile a report and email to SPF members. Bill requested members to please email comments and feedback on the report so that a final draft can be sent through to the UDSIC members.

4. General Business

- There was no further business to discuss.

FORUM OUTCOME SUMMARY

Actions derived from meeting:	1. Report re SPF role and purpose to be circulated to SPF for comments.
Information Requests	1. Intensification Topology information 2. How has previous surface water strategy changed ? 3. Presentation by Bill Luff re Regional Economic Development
Items for Next Agenda	1. Effectiveness of the SPF as it currently is. 2. Progress on intensification models (topology).
Topics noted for future discussion	1. Re-look at the SPF Term of Reference. 2. Timeframe for the Health and Social Services planning project 3. Intensification. 4. Robustness & resilience testing 5. Addressing affordable housing issues and housing affordability 6. Transport strategies and funding including Freight Roding.